GESTAR UMBC

Sergey Korkin (UMBC & NASA GSFC, <u>sergey.v.korkin@nasa.gov</u>), Alexei Lyapustin (NASA GSFC), and Brent Holben (NASA GSFC)

Abstract & Motivation

Rigorous protocols for instrument absolute calibration and data processing, developed and maintained by a dedicated international team for over three decades, have established AERONET as the global standard for aerosol research. Software has been, and will continue to be, a crucial contributor to the project's success.

How about [scientific] software ?

Select appropriate topic of t	he abstract		
AERONET networks, operation, calibration, and instrumentations			
Ground Networks (SKYNET, Pandora, MPLNET, SPARTAN, ASCENT, AirNow, others) synergic deployment, science, and applications			
Atmospheric aerosols properties retrievals and applications using AERONET and other similar measurements.			
Satellite Validation and Ca	Satellite Validation and Calibration with AERONET data		
Model evaluation and ass] Model evaluation and assimilation using AERONET data		
AERONET data in applications (i.e., Air Quality, Climate, renewal energy, etc.)			
Nighttime aerosol monitoring using AERONET and its applications			
Maritime Aerosol Network (MAN) data and applications			
AERONET-OC Network, da	ita, and application		
Innovative Applications of AERONET Data		Overlooked?	
Advances in Instrumentation and Technology		Furgutien?	
Collaborative Research ar	nd Partnerships	Inderandreciated?	
others		underappreciated	

"Scientists spend an increasing amount of time building and using software. However, most scientists are never taught how to do this efficiently. As a result, many are unaware of tools and practices that would allow them to write more reliable and maintainable code with less effort.

Write program for people, not computers. ...

Wilson + 12 (Canada, UK, US), "Best Practices for Scientific Computing" PLOS Biology (2014)

Maybe "it works" well and needs no further development?

"The more frequently a program is changed, the more difficult it is to maintain its correctness. Scientific programs are frequently changed throughout their lifetimes, not just when they're young. For most scientific programs, the rate of change doesn't decrease significantly even after many years. Like sharks, scientific programs that aren't moving are dead.' Dubois, "Maintaining correctness in scientific programs",

Computing in Science & Engineering (2005)

AERONET relies on various software including data processing (primarily implemented in Clanguage), and research (forward and inverse modelling - mostly in Fortran). This disparity between C and Fortran, and the lack of support for modern software development tools (Python, Intel MKL high-performance mathematical library, Doxygen) disrupts seamless data flow and hampers research progress.

Furthermore, scientific software serves both as a research tool and an object of study. As science evolves, the software undergoes modifications, often incorporating "temporary" patches that persist indefinitely within the codebase without proper documentation. Decades of extensive development without systematic cleanup inevitably turn software into "black boxes" with "spaghetti code".

"...several authors have argued that the "gap" or "chasm" between software engineering and scientific programming is a **serious risk** to the production of reliable scientific results, as demonstrated in a number of case studies."

Storer, "Bridging the Chasm: A Survey of Software Engineering Practice in Scientific **Programming**", ACM Computing Surveys (2017)

Our presentation outlines updates to scientific software, relevant to AERONET, over the past few years, including radiative transfer solver, atmospheric absorption spectroscopy, and light scattering by spheroids. We will share ideas based on our experience to stimulate discussion on enhancing and advancing one of the AERONET project's critical components.

"A critical challenge in scientific computing is balancing developing high-quality software with the need for immediate scientific progress."

Adorf et al., "How to Professionally Develop **Reusable Scientific Software—And When Not To**", Computing in Science & Engineering, (2019)

Roberts "The publication of scientific Fortran programs" (1969) & "Practical techniques in **computer programming**" (1971) in Computer Physics Communications

..we also demonstrate how moving to programming languages with high momentum, like **modern C++**, can help improve the sustainability, interoperability, and performance of research software."

Anzt et al., "Then and Now: Improving Software Portability, **Productivity, and 100 x Performance**", Computing in Science & Engineering (2024)

AERONET Project: The Next 30 Years of Scientific Software Development



Radiative Transfer (RT): Multiple Scattering of Sunlight



Task	Purpose		
late into C	Seamless integration with data processing part		
mentation	Future support & development cost minimization		
b / GitLab	Same + debugging + NASA's Open-Source Science		
ssional code review	Bring expertise from a skilled software engineer		
rical-shell	Low SZA & VZA; hybrid scan		
rization	Jacobians for inversion		
ut at given height	Elevated stations (e.g., MLO)	
oolarization in higher ering orders	Further acceleration		
ace for parallel runs	Faster calculation of the Jacobians and/or data processing		
ort of GPU	Yet more faster runs		
e(s) as "paper-and essary equations with s in an order natural for ow-level function witho ducible final and unit te ere next developer ma ode vs. open know os://github.com/ko	-code bundle" short code snippets code development ut dependencies ests y have problems /ledge & rkins/gsit	$ \begin{aligned} & 1 & \text{import numpy as np} \\ & 2 & \text{from numba import jit} \\ & 3 & \text{effict nonythen = True}, eache=True) \\ & 4 & \text{def legendre polynomial(x, kmax):} \\ & 5 & \text{m} k = kmax+1 \\ & 6 & \text{pk = np.zeros(nk)} \\ & 7 & \text{if kmax == 0:} \\ & 8 & \text{pk(0) = 1.0} \\ & 9 & \text{ell() = 1.0} \\ & 10 & \text{pk(0) = 1.0} \\ & 11 & \text{pk(1) = x} \\ & 12 & \text{else:} \\ & 13 & \text{pk(i) = 1.0} \\ & 14 & \text{pk(i) = x} \\ & 15 & \text{for ik in range(2, nk):} \\ & 16 & \text{pk(i) = 1.0} \\ & 16 & \text{pk(i, 1 = x)} \\ & 16 & \text{pk(i, 1 = x)} \\ & 10 & \text{max}(1 - x^2) \\ & 10 & 10 & \text{max}(1 - x^2) \\ & 10 & 10 & 10 & \text{max}(1 - x^2) \\ & 10 & 10 & 10 & 0 \\ & 10 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} \\ & 1 & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)\sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2}} & \sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)\sqrt{(1 - x^2)/2}} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)\sqrt{(x - x)/2}} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)\sqrt{(x - x)/2}} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)\sqrt{(x - x)/2}} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} & \sqrt{(x - x)/2} \\ & (x - x)$	
		for two reasons. First, the (-1) ^m factor removes the same factor from $P_k^m(x)$ (e.g. see Eq. (13)). Second, the use of the square root factor simplifies the orthogonal property $\int_{-1}^{1} Q_k^m(x) Q_l^m(x) = \frac{2}{2k+1} \delta_{kl},$ (15)	
writing a radiative transfer code ^{☆,} ☆☆ ^b , A. Ibrahim ^b , A. Lyapustin ^b		 -1 where δ_M is the Kronecker delta (compare e.g., with Eq. (5) in Wolfram¹⁶). Therefore, one must be careful when comparing associated polynomials from different sources. Note that the Schmidt and associate polynomials are direct substitution of each other in terms of the final result, but their orthogonal properties differ by a scaling factor. In Table 2 we provide analytical expressions for a few low-order associated polynomials as defined in Eq. (14); Fig. 9 shows source code. 10 https://mathworld.wolfram.com/Associated_genderPolynomial.html. a https://www.mathworld.wolfram.com/Associated_genderPolynomial.html. a https://www.mathworld.wolfram.com/Associated_genderPolynomial.html. a https://www.mathworld.wolfram.com/Associated_genderPolynomial.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.org/doc/pic/pip/eference/genderAdly/eigs.pecial.pmn.html. b https://boc.scipy.pml.html. 	

Light Scattering by Spheroids

• SK received FORTRAN sources from T. Lapyonok & O. Dubovik in September 2012 (AL recived it way before)

• MAIAC has used the sources since then: SK – as is, AL – dropped polarization and converted the sources into C

7 868 051 80 🛛 🖉 office@grasp-sas.co Platform for GRASP open source code Get public access to the code, documentation and user assistance if(ANGLE1(1) .eq. 0.) U34(IRATN,1, 1:KN1,IIM,IRE) = if(ANGLE1(KM1).eq.180.) U34(IRATN,KM1,1:KN1,IIM,IRE) = 00 [54,000 EARC[1],*]+022(IAAN6,1:001,7,1D4,D8) EARC[1],*]+022(IAAN6,1:001,7,1D4,D8) EARC[1](*)+022(IAAN6,001,1:001,1D4,D8),* EARC[1](*),*D5,000 EARC[1](*),*D5,000 λ_{user} $\lambda = 0.34$ 0.01 ~ 2% (→ Fixed kernel radii grid
→ User radii grid • User's n_o, k $k_{fix}(\theta, r, n, k)$ K K r User's grid of r autouts: your action on duy und error (%) magnitude (a.u.) Test Case 1 #include "const_param_spheroids.h" // grids (e.g., size grid), constants (e.g., sizes of arrays, pi=3.14...), file names/paths / Runs Cases 1 & 2; in test optichar.cpp; for the rest: subroutine name = file name input returns aerosol extinction, single scattering albedo, and normalized phase matrix 30 60 90 120 150 180 -F12/F11 // reads extinction and absorption fixed kernels // performs bilinear interpolation (over refractive index) Test Case 2 +-read fixkernel fij bin() // reads fixed kernels for phase matrix elements, fij Out: $\omega_0, C_{ext},$ -DLS vs CPP in % $\begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & f_{12} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $f_{22} = 0 = 0$ 0 0 f_{33} f_{34} calculates dot product of 2 vectors $f_{ii} = \int k_{ii}(\theta, x, n_0, k_0) D(x) d\ln(x) \approx \mathbf{K}_{ii} \cdot \mathbf{D} \Delta \ln(x)$ using NAG cubic spline

> $C_{VF} + C_{VC}$ optional: numerical integration using Simpson's rule

https://github.com/korkins/spheroids (code creating Fixed Kernels is yet to be refactored)

 $\int (D_{VE}(x) + D_{VC}(x)) d\ln(x)$